

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,139	ONG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NATHAN BLOOM	2624	

All Participants:

(1) NATHAN BLOOM.

(2) Stephen Bent (Reg.)

Date of Interview: 24 January 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

38-74

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/NATHAN BLOOM/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Status of Application: First Action on the Merits

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:00 PM EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner presented a restriction requirement of the claims (see attached office action) based on the Lack of Unity of Invention presented by the International Searching Authority. Applicant's representative replied with an election of group 1 containing claims 38-41,45-48,50-57,61-64, and 66-69. In addition, Applicant's representative requested that claim 70 be cancelled and claim 61 be amended to depend from claim 54. Examiner agreed to enter the amendments to the claims with an Examiner's Amendment of the claims..